



LEA 02		Discretionary Mandate	
<p>By default, assets for which we have responsibility are included for engagement. However, we may exclude assets from our engagement activities if we believe that such exclusion is necessary to support our investment objectives or to align with our values.</p> <p>Our engagement activities are primarily focused on the following areas:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Environmental and climate change Human rights Labour practices Product safety and quality Responsible business practices 		<p>Reason for Interaction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company reports <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG issues <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in internal staff 	
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company reports <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action <input type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements 	
<p>Collaborative engagements</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company reports <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action <input type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements 	
<p>Service provider engagements</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in & company reports <input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practice or identify the need for additional action <input type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> To engage investor ESG issues <input type="checkbox"/> Other: specify <input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage in collaborative engagements 	

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2018

Charterhouse Capital Partners LLP

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2018 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		-	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Private							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown by passive, active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Option to report on <10% assets	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Breakdown by market and credit quality	🔒	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown by passive, quantitative, fundamental and other active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of investments by strategy	✓	Private							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership	✓	Private							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Strategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public							✓
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public							✓
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct – Private Equity				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
PE 01	Description of approach to RI	✓	Private	✓					✓	
PE 02	Investment guidelines and RI	✓	Public		✓					
PE 03	Fund placement documents and RI	✓	Public	✓			✓		✓	
PE 04	Formal commitments to RI	✓	Private				✓			
PE 05	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	✓	Public	✓						
PE 06	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	✓	Public	✓		✓				
PE 07	Encouraging improvements in investees	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PE 08	ESG issues impact in selection process	✓	Private	✓						
PE 09	Proportion of companies monitored on their ESG performance	✓	Public		✓					
PE 10	Proportion of portfolio companies with sustainability policy	✓	Public		✓					
PE 11	Actions taken by portfolio companies to incorporate ESG issues into operations	✓	Private		✓					
PE 12	Type and frequency of reports received from portfolio companies	✓	Private		✓	✓				
PE 13	Disclosure of ESG issues in pre-exit	✓	Private		✓					
PE 14	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PE 15	Examples of ESG issues that affected your PE investments	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PE 16	Approach to disclosing ESG incidents	✓	Private							✓
PE End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Assurance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM 1 01.1	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM 1 01.2 & 01.8	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							
CM 1 01.3 & 01.9	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM 1 01.4, 10-12	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM 1 01.5	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM 1 01.6	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM 1 01.7	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM 1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Fund management

% of assets under management (AUM) in ranges

- <10%
- 10-50%
- >50%

- Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products
- Other, specify

Further options for investment managers (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Execution and advisory services
- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 01.3

Additional information. [Optional]

Charterhouse is an independent private equity house which has invested in European buyouts since the early days of the market in the 1980s. We are owned by our team and focus solely on private equity investing.

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United Kingdom

OO 02.2

Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3

Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

52

OO 03 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04 Mandatory Public Gateway/Peering General

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2017

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year, Exclude subsidiaries you have chosen not to report on and any advisory/execution only assets.

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		4	404	410	000
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		5	225	818	222

OO 06 Mandatory Public Descriptive General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

OO 06.1 Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- as percentage breakdown
- as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	>50%	0
Property	0	0

Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2 Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

Private equity

- We address ESG incorporation.
- We do not do ESG incorporation.

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 12.1

Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules

Private Equity

RI implementation via external managers

Closing module

Closing module

Investment policy

SG 01 **Mandatory** **Public** **Core Assessed** **General**

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1 Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2 Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change and related issues
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Our investment strategy is a collaborative one: partnering with management is key to the Charterhouse investment philosophy. We back incumbent management teams and provide active support to drive growth in our portfolio businesses. Our success has been built on recognising and rewarding management with equity ownership in each transaction.

Charterhouse has a flexible and open minded investment approach built on clear investment criteria, deep local networks and detailed sector knowledge.

We believe that the long term financial success of our portfolio companies can be positively influenced by robust management of environmental, social and governance ("ESG") opportunities and risks. We consider all ESG factors which may impact the business case or value under the ESG integration guidance implemented by the Charterhouse ESG Committee which, together with the Portfolio Committee oversee the management of ESG risks and opportunities.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

The Charterhouse ESG Policy published on its website is supported by additional policy documents: i) the ESG Responsibilities of the Investment Team Guidelines and ii) the ESG Themed Questionnaire which are formalized guidelines for ESG incorporation and the considerations that have to be given to ESG factors in all stages of the investment life cycle.

No

Your responses to this indicator will be used to determine if you meet the minimum requirements of being a PRI signatory introduced for the first time in 2018. Signatories have until 2020 to meet these requirements.

You can find out more information on the PRI website.

There are two minimum requirements for this indicator SG 01.1 and SG 01.2:

- A policy, or similar document, that:Sets out your overall approach to responsible investment; or
- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors; or
- Formalised guidelines on social factors; or
- Formalised guidelines on governance factors.
-

The PRI recognises that RI "policies" can take many different forms and can have a variety of titles. Please see the explanatory notes for this indicator to see further explanation of this and further guidance.

- This policy/document should cover more than 50% of your AUM

If you have any questions or need support please contact reporting@unpri.org or call on + 44 (0) 203 714 3187.

SG 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1	Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.
----------------	--

- Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

- URL

URL

<http://www.charterhouse.co.uk/our-esg-policy>

- Attachment (will be made public)

Attachment

[File 1:Charterhouse ESG Policy.pdf](#)

- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors
- Formalised guidelines on social factors
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors
- Asset class-specific RI guidelines
- Screening / exclusions policy
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2	Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.
----------------	---

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate-related issues
- We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3	Indicate if your organisation's investment principles, and overall investment strategy is publicly available
----------------	--

- Yes
- No

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 03.1	Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
----------------	---

- Yes

SG 03.2	Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.
----------------	---

Charterhouse is focussed solely on its private equity fund management business. We do not manage other types of funds, nor do we have a corporate advisory business. This enables conflicts of interest to be kept to a minimum. Should any potential conflicts of interest arise, Charterhouse has well-established practices and procedures for dealing with such matters.

- No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway/Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	------------------------------	----------------

SG 05.1	Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.
----------------	---

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 07.1	Indicate the roles present in your organisation and for each, indicate whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.
----------------	---

Roles present in your organisation

- Board members or trustees
- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
 - Charterhouse Partners**
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- ESG portfolio manager
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
- External managers or service providers
- Investor relations
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (1)

Other description (1)

Investment Team Members

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (2)

Other description (2)

Compliance and ESG Manager

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

The RI oversight, accountability and implementation responsibility is executed at various levels within Charterhouse under the supervision of the Charterhouse ESG Committee. The members of the ESG Committee include the Managing Partner (CEO), representatives of the Investment Team, the Firm's Legal Counsel, the Investor Relations Director and the Compliance & ESG Manager. The Committee formulates the Charterhouse ESG approach and policy and oversees its incorporation at all levels of activity within Charterhouse, both in-house as well as at an investment and external / portfolio company level.

The ESG Workgroup was formed in 2016 to meet more frequently with a view to coordinate the activities required to meet the Charterhouse ESG objectives. This workgroup is attended by the Firm's Legal Counsel, a senior member of the Investment Team (with responsibility for portfolio company matters), the Head of Investor Relations and the Compliance & ESG Manager.

Members of the Investment Team are responsible for addressing ESG concerns encountered in potential and current fund portfolio companies. Charterhouse has also shared its ESG approach and policy with various consultants with whom it works to ensure that ESG issues are addressed by those assisting the firm, e.g. in relation to investment due diligence and portfolio company initiatives.

Charterhouse has a Portfolio Committee which reviews each portfolio company on a regular basis and this committee's remit includes the monitoring of ESG matters.

The Compliance & ESG Manager, who assists with the implementation of the ESG Committee recommendations, co-ordinates the ESG-related activities Charterhouse is required to take to meet its ESG objectives.

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

0.50

Your responses to this indicator will be used to determine if you meet the minimum requirements of being a PRI signatory introduced for the first time in 2018. Signatories have until 2020 to meet these requirements.

You can find out more information on the PRI website.

There are two minimum requirements for this indicator SG 07.1:

- A role implementing responsible investment: Individuals with implementation roles are those charged with implementing specific aspects of the organisation's responsible investment practices, for example, conducting ESG-related research, incorporating ESG issues into investment strategies, voting shareholdings, engaging with companies and policy makers;
 - This can be an internal staff or an external role;
 - They do not have to be a dedicated RI/ESG investment staff (captured in 07.3); and
 - They do not have to be allocating the majority of their time to RI/ESG activities.
- Senior level oversight and accountability for RI implementation: Individuals with oversight roles are those with management or governance responsibility for ensuring that the organisation implements its policies, and achieves its objectives and targets in relation to responsible investment performance; and
 - "Senior level" includes the roles: Chief level staff, head of department, CEO, CIO, Investment Committee and Board members or trustees.

If you have any questions or need support please contact reporting@unpri.org or call on + 44 (0) 203 714 3187.

Promoting responsible investment

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 09.1	Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.
----------------	---

Select all that apply

- Principles for Responsible Investment

	Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)
--	--

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

	Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]
--	--

Charterhouse became a PRI signatory in October 2013.

- Asian Corporate Governance Association
- Australian Council of Superannuation Investors
- AFIC – La Commission ESG
- BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- EVCA – Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
PEI Responsible Investment Forum 2017

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
ERM ESG-themed seminars

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

SG 10

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4

SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

- Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

- Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)
- Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment
- Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work
- Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry
- Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
- Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
- Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
- Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
- A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
- On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
- Other, specify

specify description

ESG-Live: a 12 GP member initiative

Description

Charterhouse launched "ESG-Live" an initiative joined by 12 member GPs who meet on an informal basis to discuss ESG themes presented by portfolio speakers.

Charterhouse reflected on its own ESG approach given its broad portfolio and concluded its approach would be greatly enhanced by engaging with front line parties dealing with ESG issues on a practical level, e.g. someone who conducts due diligence visits to foreign eastern suppliers.

After our first meeting with one practitioner, we realised it was very helpful to understand the practical side

of things and also felt it was something which could be shared among other GPs in a private but open forum. We found that other GPs shared our concern that a huge amount of time was spent on data gathering and reporting which could lead to a scenario in which practical performance issues could get lost. We therefore created ESG-Live and launched it with a seminar at our offices with the CSR officer of one of our portfolio companies.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

specify

ESG-Live meets on a quarterly / bi-annual basis subject to speaker and member availability.

- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1 Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 13.1

Indicate if your organisation executes scenario analysis and/or modelling in which the risk profile of future ESG trends at portfolio level is calculated.

- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future environmental trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future social trends
- We execute scenario analysis which includes factors representing the investment impacts of future governance trends
- We consider scenario analysis that includes factors representing the investment impacts of future climate-related risks and opportunities
- We execute other scenario analysis, specify

Charterhouse reviews the prospects for the business in general and its ESG context and will run scenarios bespoke to that business.

- We do not execute such scenario analysis and/or modelling

SG 13.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

We do the following

- Allocation between asset classes
- Determining fixed income duration
- Allocation of assets between geographic markets
- Sector weightings
- Other, specify

Charterhouse considers sector and geographic market specific ESG factors during the investment decision phase and beyond.

- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

Communication**SG 19****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 6****SG 19.1**

Indicate whether your organisation proactively discloses asset class specific information. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Private equity

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
- We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
- We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	<input type="checkbox"/> ESG information in relationship to our pre-investment activities <input type="checkbox"/> ESG information in relationship to our post-investment monitoring and ownership activities <input type="checkbox"/> Information on our portfolio companies' ESG performance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other
Specify	1. Investor ESG questionnaires and 2. Funds' advisory board committee meetings.
Frequency	<input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested

SG 19.2	Additional information [Optional]
----------------	-----------------------------------

1. Charterhouse is frequently requested to complete ESG questionnaires which cover its ESG policy, its ESG approach during the investment cycle, and its monitoring process.
2. A member of the ESG Committee gave a presentation on the Charterhouse ESG approach to the Charterhouse Funds' joint advisory board committee meetings.

Overview

PE 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------------

PE 02.1	Indicate whether your organisation's investment activities are guided by a responsible investment policy / follow responsible investment guidelines.
----------------	--

- Our investment activities are guided by a responsible investment policy
- Our investment activities are not guided by a responsible investment policy
- We do not have a responsible investment policy

Fundraising of private equity funds

PE 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,4,6
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	------------------

PE 03.1	Indicate if your most recent fund placement documents (private placement memorandums (PPM) or similar) refer to responsible investment.
----------------	---

Yes

PE 03.2	Indicate how your most recent fund placement documents (PPM or similar) refer to the following responsible investment:
----------------	--

- Policy and commitment to responsible investment
- Approach to ESG issues in pre-investment processes
- Approach to ESG issues in post-investment processes
- Approach to ESG reporting

No

Not applicable as our organisation does not fundraise

Pre-investment (selection)

PE 05	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	PRI 1
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	--------------

PE 05.1	During due-diligence indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting private equity investments.
----------------	---

Yes

PE 05.2	Describe your organisation's approach to incorporating ESG issues in private equity investment selection.
----------------	---

Every effort is made during the origination and due diligence phase relating to a target portfolio company to identify all of the potential risks and opportunities, including ESG matters. The Charterhouse investment process requires the Investment Team to confirm the absence of ESG concerns relating to a potential investment or explain what these are and what is being done to address them. Investment Committee papers must address ESG issues, as must any early stage notes about a transaction. Charterhouse has previously rejected transactions due to ESG and reputational concerns, e.g. a payday lending investment opportunity.

If ESG concerns are identified at any stage of a transaction, further due diligence is undertaken and, where necessary, specialist consultants may be instructed.

Charterhouse expects portfolio companies to operate in accordance with Charterhouse's ESG principles, ensuring the absence of any ESG conflicting factors. In the event of an ESG conflict arising, Charterhouse expects open communication with portfolio company management such that the conflict can be dealt with quickly and efficiently. Furthermore, in the event that Charterhouse seeks to make improvements to a portfolio company's ESG performance, Charterhouse would expect full cooperation and support from portfolio company management.

No

PE 06	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,3
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

PE 06.1

Indicate what type of ESG information your organisation typically considers during your private equity investment selection process.

- Raw data from target company
- Benchmarks against other companies
- Sector level data/benchmarks
- Country level data/benchmarks
- Reporting standards, industry codes and certifications
- International initiatives, declarations or standards
- Engagements with stakeholders (e.g. customers and suppliers)
- Advice from external resources
- Other, specify
- We do not track this information

PE 06.2

Describe how this information is reported to, considered and documented by the Investment Committee or similar.

Charterhouse considers any ESG issues that may be specific to a potential investment company and its sector.

Post-investment (monitoring)

PE 09

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Core Assessed

PRI 2

PE 09.1

Indicate whether your organisation incorporates ESG issues in investment monitoring of portfolio companies.

- Yes

PE 09.2

Indicate the proportion of portfolio companies where your organisation included ESG performance in investment monitoring during the reporting year.

- >90% of portfolio companies
- 51-90% of portfolio companies
- 10-50% of portfolio companies
- <10% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

PE 09.3

Indicate ESG issues for which your organisation typically sets and monitors targets (KPIs or similar) and provide examples per issue.

ESG issues

- Environmental

List up to three example targets of environmental issues

Waste management and reduction

Reduced environmental impact / reduced consumption of natural resources

Compliance with environmental permits, requirements and thresholds

Social

List up to three example targets of social issues

Increase diversity

Reduction of Health and Safety incidences

Retention of key personnel

Governance

List up to three example targets of governance issues

Efficient anti-bribery and corruption policies

Efficient whistle-blowing policies and procedures

Group-wide compliance functionality to ensure compliance with company policies in all locations

We do not set and/or monitor against targets

No

PE 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

PE 10.1

Indicate if your organisation tracks the proportion of your portfolio companies that have an ESG/sustainability-related policy (or similar guidelines).

Yes

PE 10.2

Indicate what percentage of your portfolio companies has an ESG/sustainability policy (or similar guidelines).

- >90% of portfolio companies
- 51-90% of portfolio companies
- 10-50% of portfolio companies
- <10% of portfolio companies
- 0% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

No

Assurance

CM 1 01.1	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
-----------	-----------	--------	---------------	---------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

CM 1 01.1

Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit conducted by internal auditors of the implementation of RI processes and/or RI data that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM 1 01.2 & 01.8**Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****CM 1 01.2**

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM 1 01.3 & 01.9**Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****General****CM 1 01.3**

We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report, or we did not have such a report last year.

CM 1 01.4, 10-12**Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****General****CM 1 01.4**

We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM 1 01.7**Mandatory****Public****Descriptive****General**

CM 1 01.7

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

- Sign-off
- Review of responses
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)