



LEA 02	Discipline: Mandatory	Principle: PRI 1, 2, 3
<p>Type of engagement</p> <p>Individual/ internal staff engagements</p> <p>Collaborative engagements</p> <p>Service provider engagements</p>	<p>Reason for interaction</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's interests</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practices for identify the need to influence on ESG issues</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via internal staff</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's interests</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practices for identify the need to influence on ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via collaborative engagements</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To support investment decision-making in a company's interests</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To influence corporate practices for identify the need to influence on ESG issues</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> To encourage improved ESG disclosure</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify _____</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> We do not engage via service providers</p>	

RI TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2019

Charterhouse Capital Partners LLP

About this report

The PRI Reporting Framework is a key step in the journey towards building a common language and industry standard for reporting responsible investment (RI) activities. This RI Transparency Report is one of the key outputs of this Framework. Its primary objective is to enable signatory transparency on RI activities and facilitate dialogue between investors and their clients, beneficiaries and other stakeholders. A copy of this report will be publicly disclosed for all reporting signatories on the [PRI website](#), ensuring accountability of the PRI Initiative and its signatories.

This report is an export of the individual Signatory organisation's response to the PRI during the 2019 reporting cycle. It includes their responses to mandatory indicators, as well as responses to voluntary indicators the signatory has agreed to make public. The information is presented exactly as it was reported. Where an indicator offers a response option that is multiple-choice, all options that were available to the signatory to select are presented in this report. Presenting the information exactly as reported is a result of signatory feedback which suggested the PRI not summarise the information. As a result, the reports can be extensive. However, to help easily locate information, there is a **Principles index** which highlights where the information can be found and summarises the indicators that signatories complete and disclose.

Understanding the Principles Index

The Principles Index summarises the response status for the individual indicators and modules and shows how these relate to the six [Principles for Responsible Investment](#). It can be used by stakeholders as an 'at-a-glance' summary of reported information and to identify particular themes or areas of interest.

Indicators can refer to one or more Principles. Some indicators are not specific to any Principle. These are highlighted in the 'General' column. When multiple Principles are covered across numerous indicators, in order to avoid repetition, only the main Principle covered is highlighted.

All indicators within a module are presented below. The status of indicators is shown with the following symbols:

Symbol	Status
✓	The signatory has completed all mandatory parts of this indicator
☑	The signatory has completed some parts of this indicator
🔒	This indicator was not relevant for this signatory
-	The signatory did not complete any part of this indicator
Ⓜ	The signatory has flagged this indicator for internal review

Within the table, indicators marked in blue are mandatory to complete. Indicators marked in grey are voluntary to complete.

Principles Index

Organisational Overview				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
OO TG		-	n/a							
OO 01	Signatory category and services	✓	Public							✓
OO 02	Headquarters and operational countries	✓	Public							✓
OO 03	Subsidiaries that are separate PRI signatories	✓	Public							✓
OO 04	Reporting year and AUM	✓	Public							✓
OO 05	Breakdown of AUM by asset class	✓	Asset mix disclosed in OO 06							✓
OO 06	How would you like to disclose your asset class mix	✓	Public							✓
OO 07	Fixed income AUM breakdown	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 08	Segregated mandates or pooled funds	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 09	Breakdown of AUM by market	✓	Public							✓
OO 10	Active ownership practices for listed assets	🔒	n/a							✓
OO 11	ESG incorporation practices for all assets	✓	Public							✓
OO 12	Modules and sections required to complete	✓	Public							✓
OO LE 01	Breakdown of listed equity investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO LE 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed listed equities	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 01	Breakdown of fixed income investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 02	Reporting on strategies that are <10% of actively managed fixed income	🔒	n/a							✓
OO FI 03	Fixed income breakdown by market and credit quality	🔒	n/a							✓
OO SAM 01	Breakdown of externally managed investments by passive and active strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PE 01	Breakdown of private equity investments by strategy	✓	Public							✓
OO PE 02	Typical level of ownership in private equity investments	✓	Private							✓
OO PR 01	Breakdown of property investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 02	Breakdown of property assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO PR 03	Largest property types	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 01	Breakdown of infrastructure investments	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 02	Breakdown of infrastructure assets by management	🔒	n/a							✓
OO INF 03	Largest infrastructure sectors	🔒	n/a							✓
OO HF 01	Breakdown of hedge funds investments by strategies	🔒	n/a							✓
OO End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

CCStrategy and Governance				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
SG 01	RI policy and coverage	✓	Public							✓
SG 01 CC	Climate risk	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 02	Publicly available RI policy or guidance documents	✓	Public						✓	
SG 03	Conflicts of interest	✓	Public							✓
SG 04	Identifying incidents occurring within portfolios	✓	Private							✓
SG 05	RI goals and objectives	✓	Public							✓
SG 06	Main goals/objectives this year	✓	Private							✓
SG 07	RI roles and responsibilities	✓	Public							✓
SG 07 CC	Climate-issues roles and responsibilities	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 08	RI in performance management, reward and/or personal development	✓	Private							✓
SG 09	Collaborative organisations / initiatives	✓	Public				✓	✓		
SG 09.2	Assets managed by PRI signatories	🔒	n/a	✓						
SG 10	Promoting RI independently	✓	Public				✓			
SG 11	Dialogue with public policy makers or standard setters	✓	Private				✓	✓	✓	
SG 12	Role of investment consultants/fiduciary managers	✓	Public				✓			
SG 13	ESG issues in strategic asset allocation	✓	Public	✓						
SG 13 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 14	Long term investment risks and opportunity	✓	Private	✓						
SG 14 CC		🔒	n/a							✓
SG 15	Allocation of assets to environmental and social themed areas	✓	Private	✓						
SG 16	ESG issues for internally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 17	ESG issues for externally managed assets not reported in framework	🔒	n/a							✓
SG 18	Innovative features of approach to RI	✓	Private							✓
SG 19	Communication	✓	Public		✓				✓	
SG End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Direct – Private Equity				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
PE 01	Description of approach to RI	✓	Private	✓					✓	
PE 02	Investment guidelines and RI	✓	Public		✓					
PE 03	Fund placement documents and RI	✓	Public	✓			✓		✓	
PE 04	Formal commitments to RI	✓	Private				✓			
PE 05	Incorporating ESG issues when selecting investments	✓	Public	✓						
PE 06	Types of ESG information considered in investment selection	✓	Public	✓		✓				
PE 07	Encouraging improvements in investees	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PE 08	ESG issues impact in selection process	✓	Private	✓						
PE 09	Proportion of companies monitored on their ESG performance	✓	Public		✓					
PE 10	Proportion of portfolio companies with sustainability policy	✓	Public		✓					
PE 11	Actions taken by portfolio companies to incorporate ESG issues into operations	✓	Private		✓					
PE 12	Type and frequency of reports received from portfolio companies	✓	Private		✓	✓				
PE 13	Disclosure of ESG issues in pre-exit	✓	Private		✓					
PE 14	ESG issues affected financial/ESG performance	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PE 15	Examples of ESG issues that affected your PE investments	✓	Private	✓	✓					
PE 16	Approach to disclosing ESG incidents	✓	Private						✓	
PE End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Confidence building measures				Principle						General
Indicator	Short description	Status	Disclosure	1	2	3	4	5	6	
CM1 01	Assurance, verification, or review	✓	Public							✓
CM1 02	Assurance of last year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 03	Other confidence building measures	✓	Public							✓
CM1 04	Assurance of this year's PRI data	✓	Public							✓
CM1 05	External assurance	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 06	Assurance or internal audit	🔒	n/a							✓
CM1 07	Internal verification	✓	Public							✓
CM1 01 End	Module confirmation page	✓	-							

Charterhouse Capital Partners LLP

Reported Information

Public version

Organisational Overview

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Basic information

OO 01

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Peering

General

OO 01.1

Select the services and funds you offer

Select the services and funds you offer	% of asset under management (AUM) in ranges
Fund management	<input type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input checked="" type="radio"/> >50%
Fund of funds, manager of managers, sub-advised products	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Other	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 0% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> >50%
Total 100%	

Further options (may be selected in addition to the above)

- Hedge funds
- Fund of hedge funds

OO 01.2

Additional information. [Optional]

Charterhouse is an independent private equity house which has invested in European buyouts since the early days of the market in the 1980s. We are owned by our team and focus solely on private equity investing.

OO 02

Mandatory

Public

Peering

General

OO 02.1

Select the location of your organisation's headquarters.

United Kingdom

OO 02.2 Indicate the number of countries in which you have offices (including your headquarters).

- 1
- 2-5
- 6-10
- >10

OO 02.3 Indicate the approximate number of staff in your organisation in full-time equivalents (FTE).

FTE

58

OO 03 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 03.1 Indicate whether you have subsidiaries within your organisation that are also PRI signatories in their own right.

- Yes
- No

OO 04 **Mandatory** **Public** **Gateway/Peering** **General**

OO 04.1 Indicate the year end date for your reporting year.

31/12/2018

OO 04.2 Indicate your total AUM at the end of your reporting year.

Include the AUM of subsidiaries, but exclude advisory/execution only assets, and exclude the assets of your PRI signatory subsidiaries that you have chosen not to report on in OO 03.2

	trillions	billions	millions	thousands	hundreds
Total AUM		4	688	600	000
Currency	EUR				
Assets in USD		5	349	191	537

Not applicable as we are in the fund-raising process

OO 04.4 Indicate the total assets at the end of your reporting year subject to an execution and/or advisory approach.

Not applicable as we do not have any assets under execution and/or advisory approach

OO 06 **Mandatory** **Public** **Descriptive** **General**

OO 06.1

Select how you would like to disclose your asset class mix.

- as percentage breakdown
 as broad ranges

	Internally managed (%)	Externally managed (%)
Listed equity	0	0
Fixed income	0	0
Private equity	>50%	0
Property	0	0
Infrastructure	0	0
Commodities	0	0
Hedge funds	0	0
Fund of hedge funds	0	0
Forestry	0	0
Farmland	0	0
Inclusive finance	0	0
Cash	0	0
Money market instruments	0	0
Other (1), specify	0	0
Other (2), specify	0	0

OO 06.2

Publish asset class mix as per attached image [Optional].

OO 06.3

Indicate whether your organisation has any off-balance sheet assets [Optional].

- Yes
 No

OO 06.5 Indicate whether your organisation uses fiduciary managers.

- Yes, we use a fiduciary manager and our response to OO 5.1 is reflective of their management of our assets.
- No, we do not use fiduciary managers.

OO 09	Mandatory	Public	Peering	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 09.1 Indicate the breakdown of your organisation's AUM by market.

	Developed Markets
100	
	Emerging Markets
0	
	Frontier Markets
0	
	Other Markets
0	
	Total 100%
100%	

Asset class implementation gateway indicators

OO 11	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 11.1 Select the internally managed asset classes in which you addressed ESG incorporation into your investment decisions and/or your active ownership practices (during the reporting year).

	Private equity
<input checked="" type="radio"/> We address ESG incorporation. <input type="radio"/> We do not do ESG incorporation.	

OO 12	Mandatory	Public	Gateway	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------

OO 12.1 Below are all applicable modules or sections you may report on. Those which are mandatory to report (asset classes representing 10% or more of your AUM) are already ticked and read-only. Those which are voluntary to report on can be opted into by ticking the box.

Core modules

- Organisational Overview
- Strategy and Governance

RI implementation directly or via service providers

Direct - Other asset classes with dedicated modules

- Private Equity

RI implementation via external managers

Closing module

- Closing module

Peering questions

OO PE 01	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
----------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

OO PE 01.1	Provide a breakdown of your organisation's internally managed private equity investments by investment strategy.
------------	--

Investment strategy	Percentage of your internally managed private equity holdings (in terms of AUM)
Venture capital	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%
Growth capital	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%
(Leveraged) buy-out	<input checked="" type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input type="radio"/> 0%
Distressed/Turnaround/Special Situations	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%
Secondaries	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%
Other investment strategy, specify (1)	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%
Other investment strategy, specify (2)	<input type="radio"/> >50% <input type="radio"/> 10-50% <input type="radio"/> <10% <input checked="" type="radio"/> 0%
Total 100%	

Charterhouse Capital Partners LLP

Reported Information

Public version

Strategy and Governance

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Investment policy

SG 01

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 01.1

Indicate if you have an investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach.

Yes

SG 01.2

Indicate the components/types and coverage of your policy.

Select all that apply

Policy components/types	Coverage by AUM
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy setting out your overall approach <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on environmental factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on social factors <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors <input type="checkbox"/> Fiduciary (or equivalent) duties <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Asset class-specific RI guidelines <input type="checkbox"/> Sector specific RI guidelines <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Screening / exclusions policy <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify (1) <input type="checkbox"/> Other, specify(2)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover all AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a majority of AUM <input type="radio"/> Applicable policies cover a minority of AUM

SG 01.3

Indicate if the investment policy covers any of the following

- Your organisation's definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it's relation to investments
- Your investment objectives that take ESG factors/real economy influence into account
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Climate change
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- Other RI considerations, specify (1)
- Other RI considerations, specify (2)

SG 01.4

Describe your organisation's investment principles and overall investment strategy, interpretation of fiduciary (or equivalent) duties, and how they consider ESG factors and real economy impact.

Our investment strategy is a collaborative one: partnering with management is key to the Charterhouse investment philosophy. We back incumbent management teams and provide active support to drive growth in our portfolio businesses. Our success has been built on recognising and rewarding management with equity ownership in each transaction.

Charterhouse has a flexible and open minded investment approach built on clear investment criteria, deep local networks and detailed sector knowledge.

We believe that the long term financial success of our portfolio companies can be positively influenced by robust management of environmental, social and governance ("ESG") opportunities and risks. We consider all ESG factors which may impact the business case or value under the ESG integration guidance implemented by the Charterhouse ESG Committee which, together with the Portfolio Committee, oversees the management of ESG risks and opportunities.

SG 01.5

Provide a brief description of the key elements, any variations or exceptions to your investment policy that covers your responsible investment approach. [Optional]

The Charterhouse ESG Policy published on its website is supported by additional policy documents: i) the ESG Responsibilities of the Investment Team Guidelines and ii) the ESG Themed Questionnaire which are formalised guidelines for ESG incorporation and the considerations that have to be given to ESG factors in all stages of the investment life cycle.

No

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 01

SG 02

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 6

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 02.1

Indicate which of your investment policy documents (if any) are publicly available. Provide a URL and an attachment of the document.

Policy setting out your overall approach

URL/Attachment

URL

URL

{hyperlink:https://www.charterhouse.co.uk/environmental-social-and-governance-policy/}

- Attachment (will be made public)
- Formalised guidelines on environmental factors
- Formalised guidelines on social factors
- Formalised guidelines on corporate governance factors
- Asset class-specific RI guidelines
- Screening / exclusions policy
- We do not publicly disclose our investment policy documents

SG 02.2 Indicate if any of your investment policy components are publicly available. Provide URL and an attachment of the document.

- Your organisation’s definition of ESG and/or responsible investment and it’s relation to investments
- Time horizon of your investment
- Governance structure of organisational ESG responsibilities
- ESG incorporation approaches
- Active ownership approaches
- Reporting
- Understanding and incorporating client / beneficiary sustainability preferences
- We do not publicly disclose any investment policy components

SG 02.3 Additional information [Optional].

We are currently updating the responsible investment page on our website to improve the transparency of our ESG efforts, our ESG processes and relevant documents. We have a draft update to our ESG Policy covering several of the SG02 criteria, which will be published on our website once approved by the ESG Committee.

SG 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	General
--------------	------------------	---------------	----------------------	----------------

SG 03.1 Indicate if your organisation has a policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Yes

SG 03.2 Describe your policy on managing potential conflicts of interest in the investment process.

Charterhouse is focussed solely on its private equity fund management business. We do not manage other types of funds, nor do we have a corporate advisory business, and as such, conflicts that may arise would relate to our portfolio companies.

Should any potential conflicts of interest arise, Charterhouse has well-established practices and procedures for dealing with such matters.

No

Objectives and strategies

SG 05

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Core Assessed

General

SG 05.1

Indicate if and how frequently your organisation sets and reviews objectives for its responsible investment activities.

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad-hoc basis
- It is not set/reviewed

Governance and human resources

SG 07

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

General

SG 07.1

Indicate the internal and/or external roles used by your organisation, and indicate for each whether they have oversight and/or implementation responsibilities for responsible investment.

Roles

- Board members or trustees
- Internal Roles (triggers other options)

Select from the below internal roles

- Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Investment Officer (CIO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Investment Committee
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other Chief-level staff or head of department, specify
Charterhouse Partners

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Portfolio managers
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investment analysts
- Dedicated responsible investment staff
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Investor relations
 - Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
 - Implementation of responsible investment
 - No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (1)

Other description (1)

Investment Team Members

- Oversight/accountability for responsible investment
- Implementation of responsible investment
- No oversight/accountability or implementation responsibility for responsible investment
- Other role, specify (2)
- External managers or service providers

SG 07.2

For the roles for which you have RI oversight/accountability or implementation responsibilities, indicate how you execute these responsibilities.

Whilst ESG oversight, accountability and implementation responsibility is executed at various levels within Charterhouse, the ESG Committee has overall oversight responsibility over ESG implementation. The members of the ESG Committee include the Managing Partner (CEO), senior partners and representatives of the Investment Team, representatives of the Portfolio Team, the Firm's General Counsel, the Investor Relations Director and the ESG & Compliance Manager. The Committee formulates the Charterhouse ESG approach and policy and oversees its incorporation at all levels of activity within Charterhouse, both in-house as well as at an investment and external / portfolio company level.

The ESG Workgroup was formed in 2016 to meet more frequently than the ESG Committee with a view to coordinate the activities required to meet the Charterhouse ESG objectives. This workgroup is attended by the Firm's General Counsel, the Chairperson of the ESG Committee who is a senior member of the Investment Team (with responsibility for portfolio company matters), the Head of Investor Relations and the ESG & Compliance Manager.

Members of the Investment Team are responsible for addressing ESG concerns encountered in potential and current fund portfolio companies. Charterhouse has also shared its ESG approach and policy with various consultants with whom it works to ensure that ESG issues are addressed by third party service providers assisting the firm, e.g. in relation to investment due diligence and portfolio company initiatives.

Charterhouse has a Portfolio Committee, member of which is the Chairperson of the ESG Committee, which reviews each portfolio company on a regular basis and this committee's remit includes the monitoring of ESG matters.

The ESG & Compliance Manager, who assists with the implementation of the ESG Committee recommendations, co-ordinates the ESG-related activities Charterhouse is required to take to meet its ESG objectives.

SG 07.3

Indicate the number of dedicated responsible investment staff your organisation has.

Number

1

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

I confirm I have read and understood the Accountability tab for SG 07

Promoting responsible investment

SG 09

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 4,5

SG 09.1

Select the collaborative organisation and/or initiatives of which your organisation is a member or in which it participated during the reporting year, and the role you played.

Select all that apply

Principles for Responsible Investment

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

Basic

Moderate

Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative.
[Optional]

Charterhouse became a PRI signatory in October 2013.

Asian Corporate Governance Association

Australian Council of Superannuation Investors

AFIC – La Commission ESG

BVCA – Responsible Investment Advisory Board

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting period (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced
- CDP Climate Change
- CDP Forests
- CDP Water
- CFA Institute Centre for Financial Market Integrity
- Code for Responsible Investment in SA (CRISA)
- Code for Responsible Finance in the 21st Century
- Council of Institutional Investors (CII)
- Eumedion
- Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)
- ESG Research Australia
- Invest Europe Responsible Investment Roundtable
- Global Investors Governance Network (GIGN)
- Global Impact Investing Network (GIIN)
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- Green Bond Principles
- Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change (IIGCC)
- Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility (ICCR)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- Investor Group on Climate Change, Australia/New Zealand (IGCC)
- International Integrated Reporting Council (IIRC)
- Investor Network on Climate Risk (INCR)/CERES
- Local Authority Pension Fund Forum
- Principles for Sustainable Insurance
- Regional or National Social Investment Forums (e.g. UKSIF, Eurosif, ASRIA, RIAA), specify
- Responsible Finance Principles in Inclusive Finance
- Shareholder Association for Research and Education (Share)
- United Nations Environmental Program Finance Initiative (UNEP FI)
- United Nations Global Compact
- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify
PEI Responsible Investment Forum 2018

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
- Moderate
- Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Senior Charterhouse partner Jamie Arnell who is the Chairperson of our ESG Committee, and a member of our Investment Committee and Portfolio Committee, was a panel speaker at the 2018 PEI Responsible Investment Forum in London.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

ERM ESG-themed seminars

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
 Moderate
 Advanced

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

ESG-Live

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
 Moderate
 Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

Charterhouse initiated "ESG-Live" in 2017 to actively promote ESG in our industry. This is a closed-member GP-attended group, meeting to discuss relevant ESG topics, with external specialist speakers or portfolio company ESG representatives providing insight into ESG-related experiences - the emphasis of this forum is on practical steps GPs can take.

- Other collaborative organisation/initiative, specify

Charterhouse ESG Conference

Your organisation's role in the initiative during the reporting year (see definitions)

- Basic
 Moderate
 Advanced

Provide a brief commentary on the level of your organisation's involvement in the initiative. [Optional]

In 2018, we hosted our first annual ESG Conference for ESG, Legal and/or Compliance individuals of our portfolio companies. The ESG topics covered that day included, amongst others, supply chain management, antitrust issues, environmental best practices, gender diversity and employee mental health.

SG 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

SG 10.1

Indicate if your organisation promotes responsible investment, independently of collaborative initiatives.

Yes

SG 10.2

Indicate the actions your organisation has taken to promote responsible investment independently of collaborative initiatives. Provide a description of your role in contributing to the objectives of the selected action and the typical frequency of your participation/contribution.

Provided or supported education or training programmes (this includes peer to peer RI support) Your education or training may be for clients, investment managers, actuaries, broker/dealers, investment consultants, legal advisers etc.)

Description

Charterhouse is a financial sponsor of Level 20. Charterhouse personnel supports and participates in Level 20's mentoring programme with some senior personnel acting as mentors to young women in the Private Equity industry and encouraging young female members of the team to work with an outside mentor.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Provided financial support for academic or industry research on responsible investment

Provided input and/or collaborated with academia on RI related work

Encouraged better transparency and disclosure of responsible investment practices across the investment industry

Description

Charterhouse initiated "ESG-Live" in 2017 to actively promote ESG in our industry. This is a closed-member GP-attended group, meeting to discuss relevant ESG topics, with external specialist speakers or portfolio company ESG representatives providing insight into ESG-related experiences – the emphasis of this forum is on practical steps GPs can take.

Frequency of contribution

- Quarterly or more frequently
- Biannually
- Annually
- Less frequently than annually
- Ad hoc
- Other

Spoke publicly at events and conferences to promote responsible investment

	Description
--	-------------

Senior Charterhouse partner, Jamie Arnell, who is the Chairperson of our ESG Committee, and a member of our Investment Committee and Portfolio Committee, was a panel speaker at the 2018 PEI Responsible Investment Forum in London.

	Frequency of contribution
--	---------------------------

- Quarterly or more frequently
 - Biannually
 - Annually
 - Less frequently than annually
 - Ad hoc
 - Other
- Wrote and published in-house research papers on responsible investment
 - Encouraged the adoption of the PRI
 - Responded to RI related consultations by non-governmental organisations (OECD, FSB etc.)
 - Wrote and published articles on responsible investment in the media
 - A member of PRI advisory committees/ working groups, specify
 - On the Board of, or officially advising, other RI organisations (e.g. local SIFs)
 - Other, specify
- No

Outsourcing to fiduciary managers and investment consultants

SG 12	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 4
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

New selection options have been added to this indicator. Please review your prefilled responses carefully.

SG 12.1	Indicate whether your organisation uses investment consultants.
---------	---

- Yes, we use investment consultants
- No, we do not use investment consultants.

SG 12.7	Additional information [Optional].
---------	------------------------------------

We did not use investment consultants during the reporting period. We have, in years preceding the reporting period, engaged consultants for non-financial advice and assessment with regard to ESG-related matters in investee companies to support the identification of ESG risk and opportunity in the pre-acquisition phase.

ESG issues in asset allocation

SG 13	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	PRI 1
-------	-----------	--------	-------------	-------

SG 13.1

Indicate whether the organisation undertakes scenario analysis and/or modelling and provide a description of the scenario analysis (by asset class, sector, strategic asset allocation, etc.).

- Yes, to assess future ESG factors

Describe

Charterhouse reviews the prospects for the business in general and its ESG context and will run scenarios bespoke to that business.

- Yes, to assess future climate-related risks and opportunities
 No, not to assess future ESG/climate-related issues

SG 13.2

Indicate if your organisation considers ESG issues in strategic asset allocation and/or allocation of assets between sectors or geographic markets.

We do the following

- Allocation between asset classes
 Determining fixed income duration
 Allocation of assets between geographic markets
 Sector weightings
 Other, specify

Charterhouse considers sector and geographic market specific ESG factors during the investment decision phase and beyond.

- We do not consider ESG issues in strategic asset allocation

Communication**SG 19****Mandatory****Public****Core Assessed****PRI 2, 6****SG 19.1**

Indicate whether your organisation typically discloses asset class specific information proactively. Select the frequency of the disclosure to clients/beneficiaries and the public, and provide a URL to the public information.

Caution! The order in which asset classes are presented below has been updated in the online tool to match the Reporting Framework overview.

If you are transferring data from an offline document, please check your response carefully.

Private equity

Do you disclose?

- We do not disclose to either clients/beneficiaries or the public.
 We disclose to clients/beneficiaries only.
 We disclose to the public

Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	
Disclosure to clients/beneficiaries	<input type="checkbox"/> ESG information in relationship to our pre-investment activities <input type="checkbox"/> ESG information in relationship to our post-investment monitoring and ownership activities <input type="checkbox"/> Information on our portfolio companies' ESG performance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other
Specify	1. Investor ESG questionnaires, 2. Annual General Meeting and 3. Funds' advisory board committee meetings.
Frequency	<input type="checkbox"/> Quarterly or more frequently <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biannually <input type="checkbox"/> Annually <input type="checkbox"/> Less frequently than annually <input type="checkbox"/> Ad-hoc/when requested

SG 19.2	Additional information [Optional]
----------------	-----------------------------------

1. Charterhouse is frequently requested to complete ESG questionnaires which cover its ESG policy, its ESG approach during the investment cycle, and its monitoring process.
2. The Chairman of the ESG Committee presented an update on ESG matters to investors at our Annual General Meeting.
3. The Charterhouse Funds' joint advisory board committee meetings are kept updated on ESG-related matters.

Charterhouse Capital Partners LLP

Reported Information

Public version

Direct – Private Equity

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Overview

PE 02	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

PE 02.1 Indicate whether your organisation's investment activities are guided by a responsible investment policy / follow responsible investment guidelines.

- Our investment activities are guided by a responsible investment policy

PE 02.2 Describe how your organisation outlines expectations on staff and portfolio companies' approach towards ESG issues in investment activities.

The responsibility of integrating ESG in the investment process rests with our Investment Team. The expectations of this integration responsibility is clearly outlined in ESG training presented to the Investment Team, an integration guidance and themed ESG Questionnaire, ESG awareness notes as well as ad hoc communication of updates in team meetings.

Investment Team members are assessed on their ability to integrate ESG principles in their investments and this is considered as part of their performance review and bonus allocations.

Our ESG approach and expectations is communicated to our portfolio companies, particularly in follow up meetings by the Investment Team members, the Portfolio Team and ESG Team to discuss responses to ESG questionnaires which serve to identify ESG risks and opportunities which need to be addressed or may be enhanced. The ESG Conference we launched in 2018 for our portfolio companies was an effective way of outlining our approach and providing attendees with an overview of the way we support portfolio companies to progress their ESG performance.

- Our investment activities are not guided by a responsible investment policy
- We do not have a responsible investment policy

Fundraising of private equity funds

PE 03	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 1,4,6
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-----------

PE 03.1 Indicate if your most recent fund placement documents (private placement memorandums (PPM) or similar) refer to responsible investment.

- Yes

PE 03.2 Indicate how your most recent fund placement documents (PPM or similar) refer to the following responsible investment:

- Policy and commitment to responsible investment
- Approach to ESG issues in pre-investment processes
- Approach to ESG issues in post-investment processes
- Approach to ESG reporting

PE 03.3

Describe how your organisation refers to responsible investment in fund placement documents (PPMs or similar). [Optional]

We were not fundraising during the reporting year, however, we initiated an update of the working draft of our fund placement documents to reference the above.

- No
- Not applicable as our organisation does not fundraise

Pre-investment (selection)

PE 05

Mandatory

Public

Gateway

PRI 1

PE 05.1

During due-diligence indicate if your organisation typically incorporates ESG issues when selecting private equity investments.

- Yes

PE 05.2

Describe your organisation's approach to incorporating ESG issues in private equity investment selection.

The Charterhouse ESG Committee oversees our ESG approach, our ESG policy and the integration of ESG principles into the investment process. ESG factors are considered at every stage of the investment cycle. During the pre-acquisition phase every effort is made to identify all the potential risks and opportunities in relation to the target investee company, including those relating to ESG matters. If ESG concerns are identified at any stage of a transaction, further due diligence is undertaken and, where necessary, specialist consultants may be instructed. Charterhouse expects portfolio companies to operate in accordance with Charterhouse's ESG principles, ensuring the absence of any major ESG issues. The Investment Team is required to document these considerations in papers presented to the Firm's Investment Committee ("IC"). Our IC has ten members, four of which are members of the ESG Committee. The IC papers either confirm the absence of ESG concerns or explain what these are and what is being done to address them. The IC members carefully consider the ESG concerns and the extent to which these, when responsibly managed, can be aligned to the ESG approach and policy of Charterhouse and its investors. Any deal without broad consensual backing will not be considered. Charterhouse has previously rejected transactions due to ESG and reputational concerns; some examples include a payday lending investment opportunity, a company which had significant animal welfare issues and a company which had ongoing risk issues relating to the sale of military equipment which could be used for human rights abuse.

- No

PE 06

Mandatory

Public

Core Assessed

PRI 1,3

PE 06.1

Indicate what type of ESG information your organisation typically considers during your private equity investment selection process.

- Raw data from target company
- Benchmarks against other companies
- Sector level data/benchmarks
- Country level data/benchmarks
- Reporting standards, industry codes and certifications
- International initiatives, declarations or standards
- Engagements with stakeholders (e.g. customers and suppliers)
- Advice from external resources
- Other, specify

During the pre-acquisition phase, a target investee company and its management are screened against a global financial crime and sanction violation database.

We do not track this information

PE 06.2

Describe how this information is reported to, considered and documented by the Investment Committee or similar.

Charterhouse considers any ESG issues that may be specific to a potential investment company and its sector.

Post-investment (monitoring)

PE 09

Mandatory

Public

Gateway/Core Assessed

PRI 2

PE 09.1

Indicate whether your organisation incorporates ESG issues in investment monitoring of portfolio companies.

Yes

PE 09.2

Indicate the proportion of portfolio companies where your organisation included ESG performance in investment monitoring during the reporting year.

- >90% of portfolio companies
- 51-90% of portfolio companies
- 10-50% of portfolio companies
- <10% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

PE 09.3

Indicate ESG issues for which your organisation typically sets and monitors targets (KPIs or similar) and provide examples per issue.

ESG issues

- Environmental

List up to three example targets of environmental issues

Example 1

Waste management and reduction

Example 2 (optional)

Reduced environmental impact / reduced consumption of natural resources

Example 3 (optional)

Compliance with environmental permits, requirements and thresholds

Social

List up to three example targets of social issues

Example 1

Increase diversity

Example 2 (optional)

Reduction of Health and Safety incidences

Example 3 (optional)

Retention of key personnel

Governance

List up to three example targets of governance issues

Example 1

Efficient anti-bribery and corruption policies

Example 2 (optional)

Efficient whistle-blowing policies and procedures

Example 3 (optional)

Group-wide compliance functionality to ensure compliance with company policies in all locations

We do not set and/or monitor against targets

No

PE 10	Mandatory	Public	Core Assessed	PRI 2
-------	-----------	--------	---------------	-------

PE 10.1

Indicate if your organisation tracks the proportion of your portfolio companies that have an ESG/sustainability-related policy (or similar guidelines).

Yes

PE 10.2

Indicate what percentage of your portfolio companies has an ESG/sustainability policy (or similar guidelines).

- >90% of portfolio companies
- 51-90% of portfolio companies
- 10-50% of portfolio companies
- <10% of portfolio companies
- 0% of portfolio companies

(in terms of total number of portfolio companies)

No

Charterhouse Capital Partners LLP

Reported Information

Public version

Confidence building measures

PRI disclaimer

This document presents information reported directly by signatories. This information has not been audited by the PRI Secretariat or any other party acting on their behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented, and no responsibility or liability can be accepted for any error or omission.

Confidence building measures

CM1 01	Mandatory	Public	Additional Assessed	General
--------	-----------	--------	---------------------	---------

CM1 01.1 Indicate whether the reported information you have provided for your PRI Transparency Report this year has undergone:

- Third party assurance over selected responses from this year's PRI Transparency Report
- Third party assurance over data points from other sources that have subsequently been used in your PRI responses this year
- Third party assurance or audit of the correct implementation of RI processes (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal audit of the correct implementation of RI processes and/or accuracy of RI data (that have been reported to the PRI this year)
- Internal verification of responses before submission to the PRI (e.g. by the CEO or the board)
 - Whole PRI Transparency Report has been internally verified
 - Selected data has been internally verified
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 02	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 02.1 We undertook third party assurance on last year's PRI Transparency Report

- Whole PRI Transparency Report was assured last year
- Selected data was assured in last year's PRI Transparency Report
- We did not assure last year's PRI Transparency report
- None of the above, we were in our preparation year and did not report last year.

CM1 03	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 03.1 We undertake confidence building measures that are unspecific to the data contained in our PRI Transparency Report:

- We adhere to an RI certification or labelling scheme
- We carry out independent/third party assurance over a whole public report (such as a sustainability report) extracts of which are included in this year's PRI Transparency Report
- ESG audit of holdings
- Other, specify
- None of the above

CM1 04	Mandatory	Public	Descriptive	General
--------	-----------	--------	-------------	---------

CM1 04.1

Do you plan to conduct third party assurance of this year's PRI Transparency report?

- Whole PRI Transparency Report will be assured
- Selected data will be assured
- We do not plan to assure this year's PRI Transparency report

CM1 07

Mandatory

Public

Descriptive

General

CM1 07.1

Indicate who has reviewed/verified internally the whole - or selected data of the - PRI Transparency Report . and if this applies to selected data please specify what data was reviewed

Who has conducted the verification

- CEO or other Chief-Level staff

Sign-off or review of responses

- Sign-off
- Review of responses
- The Board
- Investment Committee
- Compliance Function
- RI/ESG Team
- Investment Teams
- Legal Department
- Other (specify)